

PARISH PLAN

**RANDWICK
STROUD, GLOUCESTERSHIRE**

JUNE 2006

CONTENTS

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

- A. Foreword**
- B. What is a Parish Plan and why do we have one**
- C. An overview of the process**

SECTION 2 – BACKGROUND TO RANDWICK

- A. History**
- B. Demographics**

SECTION 3 – FINDINGS FROM CONSULTATION

- A. Parish Survey**
- B. Interest group consultations**

SECTION 4 – ACTION PLAN

- A. Introduction**
- B. Action Plan**

SECTION 5 - APPENDICES

- I. Full demographic analysis (SDC Village Profile)**
- II. Questionnaire**
- III. Statistical analysis of questionnaire findings**
- IV. Sample of free form comments arising from questionnaire**

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

A. Foreword

**B. What is a Parish Plan and why do we
have one**

C. An overview of the process

A.

Foreword

The Randwick Parish Plan is an exciting step forward in bringing together the many aspects of local people's involvement in community activities and affairs, it is also part of a long term plan to bring greater control and responsibility throughout the country to people at community level.

Guidance for the development of Parish Plans was published by the Countryside Agency in 2003 following the publication of the Government's Rural White Paper; the Minister introduced it as "an opportunity to strengthen the first, and most local form of government we have in this country" which would help "communities to take charge of their own destinies".

From the moment that I became involved in the development of the Randwick Parish Plan I was won over by the notion of a living, growing 'Community' Plan, a plan for the people who live, work, study and play in Randwick: and I emphasise community rather than simply parish because by definition community refers not only to the locality to which people belong, but to a group of people sharing common needs and interests.

I am therefore delighted that so many people who are part of the everyday life of Randwick have shared in this development over the two years or more that it has taken to produce our very first Parish Plan, and especially pleased that forward thinking Parish Councillors saw in this national initiative the opportunity to offer the whole community, young and old alike, the chance to shape their future.

I warmly thank everyone who has contributed to the Plan, from the organisations who have supported us to the individuals of all generations and interests who have completed questionnaires and attended consultation meetings. I have been heartened to see such a large number of people involved in public meetings, in the Reference Group and in a range of working groups.

However I must above all offer a special word of thanks to the small team of people who have formed the Steering Group and laboured long and hard to transform what started as a roomful of ideas into a well-researched and thoroughly analysed picture of the views, concerns and hopes of the people of Randwick.

At the heart of this Plan there is a new and comprehensive Action Plan that maps the next stage in the life of our community. Looking ahead there are new opportunities to meet our shared needs and interests, but to do this we must also face new challenges and build on the collective action and broad community commitment that the Parish can rightly be proud of.

Randwick is populated by a uniquely strong and colourful community with a rich history and heritage. I believe that this Parish Plan reflects that strength and culture; I hope that it will in turn inspire new commitment and new champions to carry the quality of community life in Randwick Parish from strength to strength.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Colin Williams". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Calvin Williams
Chair – Randwick Parish Plan Group

B.

What is a Parish Plan and why do we have one?

A Parish plan is a comprehensive action plan covering social, economic and environmental issues

It should set out a vision for the community's future and identify the action needed to tackle local problems and areas of concern.

A Parish Plan is one of the ways that central Government hopes to give rural communities a chance to have input into their community.

Producing a plan will allow people to determine the future of the parish, ensure the Parish Council is representing the view of local people, help co-ordinate actions between local groups and authorities, feed into district and county policies, plans and strategies and provide evidence of need for funding applications. It will also allow the Parish Council to apply for Quality Parish status in the future if considered appropriate.

C.

Overview of our process

1. It was agreed by the Parish Council to develop a Parish Plan and to apply for funding to help make this happen.
2. An open meeting was held to introduce the concept of a plan to the village, to explain what we hoped to get out of it. At this meeting we sought views regarding aspects of village life under key headings, which have been consistent throughout the process. These headings are:
 - a. Natural environment
 - i. Green spaces; footpaths; walks and access to the countryside; wildlife; noise and air pollution.
 - b. Built environment
 - i. Housing; roads and traffic; village design.
 - c. Social and Leisure
 - i. Education; leisure facilities; employment.
 - d. Services
 - i. Transport; facilities; community buildings.
3. At this first meeting we also recruited a number of volunteers, in three categories – those interested in being on the steering committee, those interested in being in an interest group (focusing on one of the key areas) and those willing to help out as and when.
4. At this point we had no idea as to what form the planning process would take as we were keen that as many people as possible could have an input in the way that consultation would take place. Indeed this became the subject of a further meeting with those people who had volunteered to become involved. Out of this meeting a consensus was reached that a parish survey would be undertaken with every household receiving a questionnaire and after that key groups of people would be interviewed to add additional context to the survey findings.
5. Interest groups were formed and asked to come up with ten questions each under their subject heading which would form the basis of the questionnaire. Over a series of weeks these were debated and a final form of questionnaire constructed which, it was felt, covered all the areas we could possibly influence within the plan. Subject areas such as the future of the school and the church were felt to be out of our sphere of influence and were therefore not included in the survey.
6. Volunteers delivered and collected the questionnaires, then collated all the answers. Approximately 50% of households responded.
7. The final phase of the project was to confer with other specific groups who might not be catered for in the questionnaire. These groups were as follows:
 - a. Senior citizens
 - b. Youth groups
 - c. Businesses or people employed in the community
 - d. Sports groups
8. From the consultations a prioritised action plan has been drawn up and is contained within this document.
9. Finally the whole process has been recorded in this document with appendices giving the full detail of all the elements used to develop this plan. This will be lodged with the Parish Council, the District Council and the County Council for future reference. A “digest” of the plan, covering some of the key points arising out of the consultation and which now appear on the Action Plan has also been produced and delivered to every household in the Parish.

How will this be used in the future?

The Parish Plan will be used to:

- Create an ongoing agenda of actions needed to be taken to fulfil the vision for the community's future
- Create volunteer action teams to pursue the goals outlined in the plan
- Guide the Parish Council over community priorities both when seeking assistance and funding from other agencies and authorities

- To make a basis from which future Parish Plans can be developed. It is inherent in this plan that this process be repeated regularly so that it is flexible enough to meet the needs of a changing community

The future of the Parish Plan

The next steps for the Parish Plan are as follows:

Create a Parish Plan Steering Committee phase II whose remit will be to create and manage the action groups to achieve the action plans laid down over the next Parish Plan period (timescale to be defined, but a minimum of three years is envisaged).

Agree a way of working with the Parish Council so that the Parish Plan is consistently central to their workings and decisions.

Agree with the District and County Council how it will be used in decisions they take in the future and how Randwick will be kept informed of this.

Agree the process by which the Parish Plan will be kept up to date with the community's needs (perhaps both on an interim and full update basis).

SECTION 2 – BACKGROUND TO RANDWICK

A. History

B. Demographics

A.

History of Randwick Parish

Randwick parish lies on a south-facing slope of the Cotswold escarpment, overlooking the Frome valley to the west of Stroud.

It is evident from the prehistoric earthworks in the woods above the village that humans have long been active in the area, but the first recorded reference to Randwick dates only from the late 11th Century, at which time it lay in the parish of Standish and belonged to Gloucester Abbey.

From the 17th to the 19th Century the parish became very fragmented and widely dispersed, with 42 elements scattered as far afield as Moreton Valence and the River Frome. Most residents were engaged in agriculture or the cloth industry, either within the parish or close by. During the decade leading up to 1894, when civil parishes were established as the lowest tier of the new local government system, Randwick parish became a single compact area, with the majority of inhabitants living in the dwellings to the northeast of St John's Church. Apart from the small communities at Westrip, Humphries End and Townsend, most other dwellings in the parish were isolated. The total population was about 500.

Thus at the start of the 20th Century the parish comprised four main groups of cottages, with further individual dwellings scattered along the road leading from Ebley to Edge, which runs through the middle of the parish. Lower down the slope, most of the land was used for agriculture or as orchards. Within the parish were three public houses, one church, three chapels, a school, a post office, several shops and a number of local tradesmen.

Little significant change then took place until public utilities had been widely introduced by the middle of the Century. However, that innovation, coupled with the national house-building programme that followed the Second World War, changed the nature of the parish markedly. Much of the former agricultural land to the south of the village was progressively given over to housing and by 1960 the population had risen to 830, few of whom were employed within the parish. To satisfy the growing need for a community meeting place, a village hall was built, largely by public subscription, in 1964.

Meanwhile, national fears about the potential loss of the rural landscape had been growing. In 1931, the National Trust had acquired Standish Woods, with the aim of protecting them from development. In 1966 the Cotswold Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty was established and the older parts of Randwick fell within it. In 1990 the AONB was extended, so that the only parts of the parish not included were the southern areas of housing built after World War II. Finally, in 1990 the Randwick Conservation Area was established.

Following the building programmes of the 1960s and 70s no further major developments were undertaken, but several in-fill areas of housing have been completed. Over the intervening years general social changes have had their effect, with the result that within the parish there are now only two public houses, no chapels, no post office, no shops and very few tradesmen. In 2001 there were 623 households in the parish and the population was 1402.

B.

Demographics of Randwick Parish

The following information is taken from the Stroud District Council Randwick Parish Profile produced in 2004. This is reproduced in full with kind permission of Stroud District Council in Appendix I. All sources for this information can be found within the full report.

Population:

- 1402 (1.3% of the total district population)
- Number of Households: 623 (1.4% of all households in the district)
- Average number of people per household: 2.3 (District: 2.4).
- Population density: 701 people per square kilometre.

Age ranges

- There are peaks in the 10-14 age bracket and 50-54 age brackets.
- There is a dip in the 20-24-age bracket.
- Lower proportion of 0-19s than the district: 20% vs. 25%
- Higher proportion of those aged 65+ than the district: 22% (parish) vs. 18% (district) vs. 17% (county).
- 11th highest proportion (out of the 51 parishes in the district) of those aged 65+
- 26th highest proportion in the district of those aged 75+

Deprivation

On an index, which measures multiple types of deprivation, Randwick scores 57 where 1 is the most deprived and 69 is the least deprived. Randwick scores worst in the rankings of Crime and Disorder, Income Affecting the Deprivation of Children, General Income and Education Skills and Training.

Randwick scores best in terms of Living Environment, Income Affecting Older People and Employment.

Change over time, 1991 vs. 2001 census

- Randwick's population total has fallen slightly between 1991 and 2001, with a drop of 66 people.
- There was an increase in the 5-9 age bracket of 26%.
- There was a very large fall in the 20-29-age bracket of 57%.
- The amount of people aged over 65 has increased considerably.

(For reference, the district population rose by 4% between 1991 and 2001. The most notable rises were in the 85+ and 45-59 age groups, with a decline in the numbers of 15-19 and 20-29 year olds.)

Gender

- 47% male, 53% female
- District: 49% male, 51% female

Marital status

- 59% aged 16+ married or re-married
- District: 57%

Religion

- Christian: 75%
- Other religions: less than 1%
- None, or none stated: 24%

Above figures almost identical to the district.

Ethnicity

- The white population of Randwick is 98% of the total population.

- The non-white population of Randwick is 1.7% of the total population compared to 1.4% in the district and 2.8% in the county.

Household composition

The Randwick Parish housing is made up of:

- 36% detached
- 43% semi-detached
- 13% terraced
- 9% flats
- There are more semi-detached and less terraced houses in Randwick when compared to the district
- There are a higher proportion of pensioner households compared with the district.
 - Over half of the pensioner-only households contain one person only. These are known as "lone-pensioner households".
 - Randwick ranks 8th in the district for its proportion of lone pensioner households.
- Households with dependent children:
 - There are a lower proportion of households with dependent children compared with the district as a whole.
 - Lone parent households represent 17% of the households with dependent children in Randwick, compared with 16% for the district.

In addition to the 623 occupied properties in Randwick, there are:

- 15 vacant properties (representing 2% of all properties).
- 4 of which are holiday accommodation/second residences (less than 1% of all properties) The proportion of holiday accommodation/second residences as a proportion of all homes is the same as in the district.
- 52 general purpose properties for social housing and no sheltered homes in Randwick.

Employment

In the parish...

- 66% are in paid employment
- 19% are retired
- 15% belong to other categories

Comparing with the district...

- The same proportion in the district work, (66%).
- A slightly lower proportion is retired (15%)

VAT registered enterprises

- 20 VAT registered enterprises in the ward
- No agricultural or production based VAT registered companies in the ward compared to 22% in the district as a whole.

Employers

- There are 10 employers in Randwick parish.
- Scaled by the 16-74 population, there are 75% fewer employers per head in Randwick than in the district as a whole.

Farms

There are 4 farms in Randwick. All are categorized as being in the smallest (of five) size bands (the size bands are a measure of the economic size of holdings in terms of the value they add to variable inputs and thus differs from physical measures, such as area, which take no account of the intensity or quality of production).

The figure may be slightly more than this since some data has been suppressed at source "to prevent disclosure of information about individual holdings".

Household Income

- Net household weekly income before housing costs £370.
 - Randwick ranks 19th highest out of 31 wards.
 - The average for the Stroud wards was £359, with the lowest £300 and the highest £460.
- Net household weekly income after housing costs £310
 - Randwick ranks 14th highest out of 31 wards.
 - The average for the Stroud wards was £309, with the lowest £250 and the highest £410.

Income support

- 4% of the 16+ population of Randwick are claiming income support. This is slightly lower than the district figure.
- 2% of the 30-59 population of Randwick is claiming income support. This is lower than the district.
- 8% of the 60+ population of Randwick are claiming income support. This is slightly lower than the district.

Households with car

- 86% of the households in Randwick have a car compared with 84% in the district.
- The 86% can be further split into 43% who have one car and 43% who have two or more cars.
- 14% of households in Randwick don't own a car, compared to 16% in the district as a whole.

Road traffic accidents

- There were no serious or fatal road traffic accidents in the above period.
- Randwick comes 10th best out of the 51 parishes.

General state of health

- Figure 11 shows the general health (self-classified) of residents in the parish, with the district figures for comparison.
- 71% of Randwick's residents class themselves as being in "good health". Figures very similar to district.

Long term limiting illness

- 17% of the parish population class themselves as having a long term limiting illness. This is the same as the district as a whole.
- 6% of people who are of working age class themselves as having a long term limiting illness, which is the same as the district.

Unpaid care

- 12% of Randwick's population provides unpaid care (to family, friends or neighbours, due to ill-health, disability or old age) compared with 11% in the district.
- In both the parish and the district, most of the individuals involved provide 1-19 hours of unpaid care per week.

Full time education

- 79% of the 16-17 year olds in the parish is in full-time education compared with 81% in the district as a whole.
- 1% of those aged 18-74 in the parish and 2% in the district are in fulltime education.

Further education

- Randwick has the same proportion of 16-74s attending a Further Education course as the district as a whole (14%).
- Randwick comes 24th highest of all the 51 parishes in Stroud district for its proportion of FE students.

People with no qualifications

- 25% of those aged 16-74 in Randwick has no qualifications. This is slightly worse than the district (24%).* See qualifying note in Appendix I page 21 re how this number might be skewed by the birth dates of 16 year olds in the parish.
- The parish ranks 16th out of 51 parishes for those with no qualifications (where 1 has the most people with no qualifications).

Crime

Violent crime

- The number of violent crimes per head of the population was 50% less in Randwick than in the district as a whole and less than half that in the county as a whole.
- It ranks 14th best out of the 31 wards in the district. N.B. Most violent crime is concentrated in a relatively small number of parishes where the pubs & clubs are.

Burglary of dwellings

- The proportion of burglary of dwellings was roughly a third less than the district and county.
- Randwick ranks 11th best in the district.

Theft of a vehicle

- The proportion of vehicle thefts is three quarters less than the district and county.
- Randwick ranks 4th best in the district.

Theft from a vehicle

- The proportion of thefts from a vehicle was almost three quarters less than in the district.
- Randwick has the third best ranking out of the 31 wards in the district.

Young offenders (10-18 years old)

- There were no reported young offenders in Randwick in 2001
- Randwick ranks fifth best out of the 31 wards in the district.

SECTION 3 – FINDINGS FROM CONSULTATION

A. Parish Survey

B. Interest group consultations

A.

The Parish Survey

A copy of the questionnaire and the full statistical analysis forms Appendix III of this document. A selection of the free-form comments is included in Appendix IV of this document.

As mentioned in the introduction the questionnaire was divided into four key areas as follows:

- a. Natural environment
 - i. Green spaces; footpaths; walks and access to the countryside; wildlife; noise and air pollution.
- b. Built environment
 - i. Housing; roads and traffic; village design.
- c. Social and Leisure
 - i. Education; leisure facilities; employment.
- d. Services
 - i. Transport; facilities; community buildings.

There were 339 respondents (just over 50% of households), 4 of which came from one household, the remainder of which we believe accounted for one household each. Unless otherwise stated, the findings are as a percentage of all the respondents rather than of the number who answered the question.

The age and gender of respondents were broadly consistent with the demographics outlined above therefore we believe the respondents are representative of the whole parish.

Comparison with 2001 census household data.

Age	Parish Plan (closest comparable ranges to Census)	2001 Census – rounded up %
0-5	5%	4%
5-14	11%	11%
15-19	4%	5%
20-29	9%	7%
30-59	48%	45%
60+	24%	28%

However it should be noted that the number of people stating they live in detached houses accounts for a far greater percentage of the respondents than is the actual case and fewer people who live in semi-detached. However, many people who live in bungalows did not specify whether these are semi-detached or detached and this might cause some of the discrepancy.

Of those that answered the demographics questions (87%), 31% live in one person households (20% 2001 census), 42% live in 2 person households (42% 2001 census), 8.5% live in 3 person households (14% 2001 census), 14% live in 4 person households (17% 2001 census), 4% live in 5 person households (4% 2001 census) and 1% live in 6 person households (2.5% 2001 census).

The demographics of the responders are contained within the full analysis document in Appendix I.

In analyzing the responses we have looked at four groupings.

1. All respondents – 339 responses
2. 65+ households only – 110 responses
3. Preschool households only – 13 responses (N.B. it is important, because of the very low number of responses being analyzed to understand that this is not a robust sample but just a guide to understanding this group).
4. Households with both preschool and school age children – 56 responses (this is mutually exclusive of the preschool households)

Section A – Social and Leisure

Most households belong to at least one organization in the village with the exception of pre-school households whose average was very low in comparison. Slightly more people in all groups take part in leisure activities outside of the village than inside, however over a third of all groups take part in leisure activities within the village, rising to over a half for households with school age children. A minority of people feel that they belong to a group that is not catered for in the village, with sports and arts topping the list of interests not catered for.

Not surprisingly those with children in the house are more involved in youth activities (50% school age and 38% preschool) but overall less than 20%. The overwhelming majority of those who are involved are involved with cubs, brownies, guides, beavers or scouts and the majority who commented said that this was linked to the school.

A quarter of respondents who said that their activity wasn't catered for in the village would like to have it in the village if possible, with 80% preschool respondents wanting this.

39% of respondents said their household was involved in sports activities but this was weighted down by the 65+ group for whom only 15% take part in sport. Swimming was the most mentioned sport involved with, although the 65+ group mentioned golf more. Households with school age children were involved in a wide variety of sports and more of them took part overall. Nearly a third who take part in sporting activities would like to be able to do their sport within the village but the main comment made against this question was that it would not be feasible or practical (e.g. Stratford Park is very close and it's not likely that Randwick will get a swimming pool).

Over half do some other form of physical leisure activity, again with the 65+ group weighting this figure down with only 39% getting involved. Walking is the most mentioned activity across all groups. Most of those who responded said that their activity was catered for outside the parish, but with a significant number (63%) also saying that their activity was catered for in the parish.

Households with school age children are more likely to use the village hall on a weekly basis but at the most once a week. Over 65's are the biggest monthly users and again the frequency is mainly once a month. For those who use the village hall only occasionally, the most frequent answer was between once a year and six times a year.

The vast majority of people mainly use the village hall for parties and social events with nearly half of the preschool households using it for toddlers group. The over 65's use the hall more for regular meetings of societies.

Under half of the respondents said there should be improvements to the village hall building or facilities with more school age households (59%) wanting this than other groups. 17% said there should not be improvements.

The most mentions were for general updating and refurbishing but a number of people (over 20% in all groups) want better kitchen and toilet facilities. The School age group mentioned making the hall larger more than other groups. There was a less definite response to improved village hall events and activities – the responses were relatively evenly split and fairly low (22% yes and 27% no). For those that thought there should be improved activities

the most mentions went to dances, concerts and music, followed by more youth activities, followed by more whole village events.

The households with pre-school and school age children were those most likely to make use of the playing fields and in particular the playground. Generally, most use it for sports, running or general exercise but over half of the households with children who answered this question use the playground.

Less than a quarter of respondents had ever attended a parish council meeting, with more 65+ households having done so than other groups. Nearly half said they knew a Parish Councillor. The best-known parish councillor was known by a third of respondents and the least well known only 3%.

The majority of people do not feel part of any minority group that the parish plan should be aware of. But of those that did, working parents, carers, young people and those living in Westrip got a mention.

Few people made comments in this section (20%) with households with children making most comments. A representative sample of the comments can be seen in Appendix IV

Section B – Services

Only 5 to 6% of all respondents said that they are prevented from getting to essential services and leisure facilities by lack of suitable transport. This was the same in the 65+ group. Those with school age children have more difficulty with getting to all services and leisure but still less than 15% recording difficulty. Most householders use a car to get to work and this is significantly increased if the household has children and reduced for over 65's. A third of all respondents use a car as part of their work (paid or voluntary) – again increased to around 50% for households with children and down to 10% for over 65's.

Over 65's are the least likely to reduce their use of the car if buses ran more frequently and there were better transport links. Those with children were more likely than average to use better public transport.

Less than 10% of respondents said they cared for an older person or someone with a long term illness or disability, though these were more represented in the over 65's. Around 20% are caring for children in the household. Nearly a third felt they were not informed enough about the services available locally to help them in their caring role, but over 50% felt well or reasonably informed. Most of the over 65's who are caring for a disabled person or someone with a long-term illness felt they were getting adequate support.

Only 10% made any comment regarding improvement to services and the majority of these were around the subject of information improvements followed by transport improvements.

Only 20% of respondents would be prepared to share a skill with others and 62% would not, with over 65's least likely to want to skill share and those with pre-school children most likely to skill share. Computer and IT skills came at the top of the pile. Other skills mentioned were reading, gardening, child care cooking.

The parish is fairly split between feeling well-informed about the Parish (49%) and ill-informed (42%) with over 65's feeling better informed than most (54%) and those with preschool children feeling least informed. Unsurprisingly those with pre-school children want to know more about the parish. From all respondents just under half want to know more. The Randwick Runner is how most people get their information followed by notice boards and the Stroud News and Journal. There were not many suggestions as to how information could be improved apart from more notice boards, a bigger and better Runner/a parish newsletter, which indicates that some people are not aware of the Randwick Runner or don't see it as the parish newsletter. Less than 10% of people made any comment about services but of those a representative selection of comments can be seen in Appendix IV.

Section C -Built Environment

This section deals with the built environment, which includes housing, roads and traffic.

. As far as new build is concerned, there is agreement that the green gap between The Fuzzies and Ruscombe Brook should not be built on (82% of all respondents and similar numbers in the profiled groups) and 56% of respondents felt there should be no new building at all. Those with school age children felt the strongest that there shouldn't be new building yet those with pre-school children being most in favour of a new build – perhaps because they themselves had found it difficult to find suitable property in the village (however remember the small number that make up this group of respondents). Most respondents favoured infill as a form of new development and over 70% of the total group agreeing that any new development should include some form of affordable housing (though affordable was not defined in any way).

Perhaps obviously the vast majority of respondents felt that any new building should be in keeping with its surroundings.

As far as traffic and pedestrians are concerned, in general respondents feel that they are adequately catered for as pedestrians but those with children are far more likely to think they are not well catered for. Nearly three quarters felt that we need more traffic controls with households with children being the strongest groups in this regard. The village does not however sing with one voice when it comes to yellow lines. Of the whole group of responders 47% strongly agree or agree with 43% disagreeing or strongly disagreeing. Over 65's tend to be more in favour, as do those with pre-school children. Those with school age children are similar to the main group. On the subject of street lighting there is no absolute consensus but slightly more of the main group (43% v 37%) think there should not be more street lighting. Interestingly, despite the possible road and personal safety issues, those with school age children disagree more strongly than the main group (58% against, 35% for).

The respondents were unanimous in their desire to retain the existing public buildings in the village and to retain, maintain and improve stone walls.

The main comment in this section was around the subject of new building and low cost housing with yellow lines, street lighting and traffic calming coming second in the number of mentions -see Appendix IV for a selection of the most common type of comments.

Section D - Natural environment

The overwhelming majority agree or strongly agree that air quality and wildlife habitat should be protected. 31% commented on bonfires and 23% mentioned traffic fumes as being a concern. This is consistent across all the sub-groups. Over 75% of people across all groups believe that land use should be in keeping with the rural environment with dumping and inappropriate storage on land being the most frequently mentioned aspect which people felt was not in keeping.

A third of respondents overall felt that noise/noise pollution is an issue in the parish, with the over 65's being most likely to agree with this (77%) and those with pre-school children less likely to agree. The biggest area of concern overall was motorbikes in the woods, but other issues mentioned were traffic, dogs, garden machinery and raves or parties.

76% of all respondents do not want further power and communication structures with those with school age child feeling most strongly about this. In general people are not sure whether light pollution is an issue (44% say no, 20% say yes and 23% unsure) with those with school age children being least sure (42%). Comments on light pollution included security lights, overgrown trees and street lighting.

Most respondents felt that footpaths were satisfactory but the main complaints being that they were overgrown (particularly from over 65's and those with pre-school age children), with the most common comments from those with school age children being more signage required, cleaning up after dogs needed and more lighting.

Solar energy and wind turbines were the most popular ways of improving energy use in the parish with the majority of respondents agreeing that collecting a wider range of materials for recycling would be useful. Respondents were generally more positive than negative about improved/alternative ways of recycling suggested but not overwhelmingly so with over 65's less likely to be positive and those with children most likely to be positive.

There was little comment on the environmental section, with only 4% making any comment. Recycling is top of the list of comments with the desire to ensure the parish remains rural and retains its natural feel being the next most frequent comment.

General section - Quality of life comments

At the end of the questionnaire we asked respondents to state three things which would improve their quality of life in Randwick. Top of the list was traffic control (22%), followed by improvements to the village hall (11%), then having a shop or post office in the village (9%). 7% of respondents felt that there was nothing to improve in Randwick and an equal number commenting on the need for improved public transport or access to facilities. 6% would like more involvement or more parish activities. Those with children mentioned youth activities more

Sample of quality of life comments (heavily duplicated comments have been thinned out – see key topics in next section for emphasis of quality of life comments!) can be seen in Appendix IV

Key topics

Given that we included a lot of opportunity for comment throughout the questionnaire, we have included here a list of words and the number of times they appeared throughout all of the comments. We felt this might give an indication of the topics that are on people's minds and give us some order of importance when trying to develop an action plan.

Mention of specific items across all free questions

bonfires/fire/smoke	240
runner	223
traffic/speed	195
social/events	186
wildlife/birds/woods	127
child/children	121
notices/communications	113
gardens	74
village hall	68
playing field	65
parking	62
public transport/bus	51
motor bikes	50
security/safety/police	49
trees	48
shops/post office	46
noise	40
building	38

B.

GROUPS AND INDIVIDUAL CONSULTATIONS

The Steering Committee were aware from the outset that household questionnaires would not reach all 'stakeholders' with an interest or involvement in the life of the parish, and whilst comprehensive consultation tailored to different needs and interests would be unmanageable, it was felt appropriate to group similar interests and to sample and record views to complement the initial phase of consultation. A number of groups were listed for potential discussion. At the point of completion of the Parish Plan the following perspectives had been explored. Representatives to each of the meetings were invited via informal networks and via the Parish newsletter, the Randwick Runner.

- **Businesses**
- **Senior Citizens**
- **Sports Clubs**
- **Youth**
- **Distributors of the village questionnaire**

Businesses in Randwick

In the interviews with local business people the following was noted:

That local enterprises have to compete with larger enterprises in town – for instance people buying their milk at the supermarket, and people buying ready meals and wine/beer at the supermarket and not going out to eat/drink in the local pub. Because of the cost from the brewery/taxes, it is impossible for the pub to compete with supermarket.

Because of the high level of older people in the village, the postman and milkman feel they offer much more than their base service. They keep an eye out for problems and people often ask them to help them out in minor ways – which they are happy to do. The post office in particular does not necessarily understand this and has recently not realised the implication of keeping local people on the rounds they know well and where they are known.

More people need to support the local services – the majority of the business for the pub comes from outside the village and 50% of the milkman's business is done outside of Randwick. The pub is happy to support local clubs and societies and some people use it for meetings.

The hilly nature of Randwick is against more people using the pub particularly older people. However the beautiful environment was noted by one business as a positive reason for locating a business here.

There was definitely an element of needing to support the facilities because without it's own facilities, Randwick would just become a suburb of Stroud without its own identity.

Possible improvements noted were:

- Better links with the parish council, the school, the parishioners.
- Stop the sewerage in Randwick Stream
- To be able to sell/do business with people in Randwick
- To increase awareness and understanding locally of local businesses
- Local school and other organisations to use businesses as a resource and encourage parishioners to use local businesses/buy their products to benefit all

Senior Citizens

The Older People of the Parish were contacted via the Happy Circle social group, shortly before it was dissolved due to lack of volunteer organisers and committee members. This demise of this rare leisure opportunity is clearly an issue in its own right.

Some of the issues raised reflected directly on matters within the Parish, some extended into the neighbouring Parishes, including Whiteshill and Ruscombe – the other part of our 'Super Output Area'

- Excessive and poor parking of vehicles along The Lane blocks the bus – delays and re-routing are a nuisance and cause concerns over potential service withdrawal
- There are trees along Lightwood Lane which are overgrown and causing a nuisance.
- There is a lack of adequate disabled parking spaces at the Village Hall
- For those who walk (e.g. to Cashes' Green shops) the bench just inside the Parish, at the corner outside Cashes' Green School needs replacing
- Some sort of traffic calming is needed at The Change and along past the Rylands, for the sake of pedestrian and vehicle safety
- Parking on Bread Street (in the Laburnum Crescent area) causes traffic danger approaching and leaving the Village/Parish
- Illegal rubbish dumping on Waterlane is an issue causing concern
- There was a general satisfaction with recycling opportunities, but wheelie-bins would be preferable for main refuse storage and collection
- The desire to protect woodlands and stone walls was expressed
- Largely due to fears of service viability and potential reduction there was felt to be a need to promote and encourage bus use

Sports clubs

Relevant initial indicators from the household questionnaire results were shared to allow further analysis and add context.

A wide-ranging discussion was had with a range of representatives of Parish sports/leisure groups with the following points being raised (in no particular order).

- The Football Club is doing well on the field and is putting out three teams. They would very much like to support a junior section if a parent or someone else would like to lead it.
- Cricket Club are competing in Sunday friendlies.
- The field and its slope was discussed – would it be possible to level it? While it was thought that a local haulage company would probably be willing to tip spoil to create a level area it was thought that this would only be possible by using large lorries which would be unacceptable through the village.
- Some years ago an area was levelled (Ponting's Patch) it might be possible to continue this with the excavated material from the Village Hall extension.
- All were agreed that if a level area could be made then an all-weather pitch would be possible for use as a five-a-side, tennis court, net-ball court etc. Floodlighting would be a sensitive issue.
- Another use for the spoil from the extension would be to make a mountain bike area such as that recently constructed by Cainscross PC at Victory Park. (Would take pressure off woods)
- Parking – often a problem when home games were held, mainly where cars turn off from Chapel Fields. Ideally some form of hardened surface would be good but “grass-crete” not good for other users of playing field. Other alternatives need to be looked at or improvements to the turn-in area so that cars are facing uphill before they get on to the grass.
- Other facilities used by the clubs were the two village pubs and leisure centres at Stratford Park and Archway.
- The Cricket Club's nets had recently been renewed and these were well used by both the club itself and by villagers.
- The Table Tennis Club were very active and were rightly proud of their youth teams. It was discussed whether a permanent outdoor table could be established such as is common in France.
- It was discussed whether the sports clubs could make up for the lack of a youth club by providing activities for the young residents of the Parish. Volunteers were seen as a problem as mentioned by the Footballers.
- A brief discussion was held on the use of the area behind the village hall. As this was the first area seen as the hall is approached it was agreed that this should not be neglected.

- The now annual match between Randwick and Cashes Green supported the Wap.
- There are many squash players in the parish and a squash ladder, league or annual knock out cup would be good.
- A mini-bus was discussed, this could be used by all clubs in the Parish. The use of this would need to be regulated and the finances looked at carefully.

- Walkers and horse riding was discussed as important physical activities although these were not represented at the meeting. One phone call from a Parishioner who could not attend was received, who had expressed support for the replacement of stiles with kissing-gates so as to make footpath access easier particularly for older walkers.

Youth

Using local networks and the Parish newsletter, young people were invited to an initial meeting, at which they were asked to look at the four main Parish Plan areas and initial outcomes from the household questionnaire, and then to explore their needs, aspirations and ideas as young people. The flipchart notes which follow reflect the wide range of discussion, and the high level of community understanding and responsibility of the group, as well as a strong confluence of views with those of adult respondents.

The outcomes fell into several categories: Community/environment, having a democratic voice and organised youth facilities / activities. The initial meeting led to two further meetings; the core group have now recruited wider volunteer support from local adults, established an occasional youth club, developed a PowerPoint presentation to show the Parish Plan group and Parish Council, played an active part in running activities at the Wap and started planning for holiday activities and activity courses.

Parish Plan Issues

- Safety
 - Footpaths/cycle paths
 - Traffic calming – corner by mayor's pool
 - Speed cameras
 - More lampposts
 - Lights in park
 - More phone boxes

- Transport
 - School bus
 - More buses

- Village Events
 - Input into Wap – rides Stall
 - Input into other events

- Environment
 - Re-fill Mayor's pool
 - Reinstate 2000 steps or similar
 - No more new houses
 - Protect environment around school and elsewhere
 - New campsite (clean)
 - More footpaths

- Shop/Café
 - Sweets
 - Local produce
 - Milk
 - Bread
 - Essentials

- Communications
 - Website
 - Youth section - Runner

- When will Village Hall be finished?

Youth Focus Issues

- Youth Council/Kiddie Council
- Youth Group
- Communications
 - Website
 - Section in Runner

- Youth Club 7-9pm Thursday
 - Programme ideas
 - Social gatherings
 - D of E
 - Campaign against child abuse
 - Camping
 - Trips (Cadbury World, South Cerney, Legoland, PGL)
 - Go-Karts
 - Youth Drama Group plays
 - Football, cricket, rounders
 - Treasure hunts/panther hunts
 - Biking
 - Wap Stall
 - Summer Holiday Programme
 - As above
 - Water slide

- Youth Space
 - Renovate Guide Hut
 - Space on field – Skate/Bike ramps, T.T table, Basketball, shelter to hang out in, lights, tennis/badminton/quick cricket courts, electric climbing wall

- Unusual sports
 - Archery club
 - Martial Arts
 - Canoeing
 - Skiing
 - Fishing
 - Biking club

Presentation/feedback ideas

- Checklist of things we want
- No Report
- Greenday or local band if too expensive
- Poster
- Invitations to youth council
- More frequent meetings like this
- PowerPoint presentation
- Video/film to councillors
- Do to them as we did today

Consultation with Distributors & Collectors of the Parish Questionnaire

Returns and comments arising from the distribution and collection of the Randwick Parish Plan Questionnaire led the Steering Group to investigate further general evidence that in some areas of the parish the returns were significantly lower than in others. To this end consultation involved interviewing and/or questioning (based on a pre-set menu of questions) a representative group of eight of our distributors covering all areas across the parish.

Factors identified from the consultation as influencing low return rates generally, included problems over delivery & collection times & intervals, lost/mislaid questionnaires, residents being away on holidays, empty houses, and a few individuals unwilling for several reasons to participate. However, it also confirmed that while there were generally significantly lower returns in Lower Randwick, there were also small “pockets” in both Upper and Lower Randwick with significantly lower returns than from their immediate surroundings.

In specific areas furthest away from the “centre” of the parish there is strong evidence that a lack of parish identity, sense of belonging, and knowledge of which parish residents actually live in, all contributed to low return rates. This is further compounded by confusion over the warding of Randwick Parish in recent years whereby the larger population of Randwick residents live in Lower Randwick yet vote for District Councillors also representing the Parish of Cainscross in a ward entitled “Cainscross (Lower Westrip).

The Steering Committee felt that addressing these problems involving a lack of a sense of parish identity and belonging in parts of our community should become a major priority identified in the Action Plan of the Randwick Parish Plan.

SECTION 4 – ACTION PLAN

A. Introduction

B. Action Plan

A.

Action Plan introduction

The Action Plan includes all those many significant issues which were raised at all levels of consultation but mainly involving analysis of the Parish Questionnaire and the responses from a range of further consultations with the Focus Groups and Reference Group. This wide consultation process provided a formidable evidence base. The Steering Committee made the decision to include in the Action Plan all those significant issues identified in the combined evidence base rather than selecting and prioritising just a few. We acknowledge that these include some issues, which though highly desirable may not be achievable under the present circumstances or timescale. However, it was felt strongly that in the interest of public awareness all significant issues raised by the community during the widespread consultation process should be made public.

The format of the Action Plan is based firmly on the structure of the Parish Questionnaire and Issues arising were placed accordingly (whilst trying to avoid duplications) in the relevant Sections - (A) Social, (B) Services, (C) Built Environment, and (D) Natural Environment. A fifth, Section (E) General - was added to include those Issues that either have a whole parish perspective, or as Issues arising (but not yet fully explored) during the consultation processes to date

As well as identifying issues the Action Plan also contains columns on "Possible Solutions", "Suggested Actions," and "By Whom," etc. The information included in these columns is illustrative and by way of example only. It is not the intention of the Steering Committee to restrict the flexibility of individuals, groups or committees willing to undertake the implementation of any of the issues identified.

The development of the Action Plan by the Steering Group meant that it went through five Initial drafts. It was then sent to the Reference Group, Parish Council, our District and County Councillors, Officers of Stroud District Council, Gloucestershire County Council and Gloucestershire Rural Community Council for their collective and individual comments & suggested revisions before further drafting prior to publication.

The Action Plan does not end with its inclusion in the publication of the Randwick Parish Plan as it now faces its most important and challenging phase - implementation. Finite resources will undoubtedly dictate the implementation process and clearly only a few issues can be implemented at any one time. The Action Plan will help guide those involved in the implementation process to establish the priority of issues, assign resources, set timescales, as well as in organising, managing and reviewing progress in the initiatives they select. It will also need to be reviewed regularly to take account the impact of ongoing and new initiatives in local government such as the introduction of a Local Area Agreement initiative. The publication of the plan is not an end in itself but hopefully the beginning of greater community involvement in tackling some of the community issues thought to be important by parishioners in Randwick. It is also a living document and new issues and initiatives like, for example, Local Area Agreements are constantly evolving and developing and therefore the Action Plan needs to be regularly reviewed and updated.

SECTION 5 - APPENDICES

- I. RANDWICK PARISH PROFILE (2004) PUBLISHED
BY SDC'S REGENERATION SERVICE**

- II. COPY OF PARISH PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE**

- III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF QUESTIONNAIRE**

- IV. SAMPLE OF FREE FORM COMMENTS FROM
QUESTIONNAIRE**

APPENDIX I

**RANDWICK PARISH PROFILE (2004) PUBLISHED BY
SDC'S REGENERATION SERVICE**

APPENDIX II

COPY OF PARISH PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE

APPENDIX III

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF QUESTIONNAIRE

General

We have 339 questionnaires returned with data to input (just over 50% of households - there are some multiples but these only account for 4 questionnaires from the same household).

Analysis is as a % of the total number of questionnaires returned (i.e. 339) unless otherwise indicated

Some people will have answered a question when they have not answered a pre-qualifying question – this is what will make the percentage response analysis to some questions look incorrect.

Demographics

- 48% male
- 52% female
- all - including children

Age ranges included in the scope of responders' households

- 0-5 yrs = 5%
- 6-11 yrs = 5%
- 12-16 yrs = 6%
- 17-19 yrs = 4%
- 20-34 yrs = 9%
- 35-49 yrs = 24%
- 50-65yrs = 24%
- 65+yrs = 24%

- 23% households have someone who works full time in the home
- 67% households have someone who is full time employed
- 31% households have someone who is part time employed
- 22% households have someone who runs own business
- 10% households have someone who does voluntary work
- 32% households have someone who is in full time education
- 6% households have someone who is in part time education
- 55% household have someone who is retired
- 35% households have someone who has a university degree
- 58% households have someone who has a professional qualification

Average time lived in Randwick (number 1 in household) = 20 years

- 31% live in semis
- 54% live in detached
- 26% live in bungalows
- 7% live in terraced
- Average number of bedrooms = 3
- Most households (mode) have 1 car

- 27% are on broadband
- 32% on dial up internet
- 35% have no internet connection.

Comparison with 2001 census household data.

Age	Parish Plan (closest comparable ranges to Census)	2001 Census
0-5	5%	4.28%
5-14	11%	11%
15-19	4%	4.85%
20-29	9%	7%
30-59	48%	45%
60+	24%	28%

Of those that answered the demographics questions (87%) 31% live in one person households (20% 2001 census), 42% live in 2 person households (42% 2001 census), 8.5% live in 3 person households (14% 2001 census), 14% live in 4 person households (17% 2001 census), 4% live in 5 person households (4% 2001 census) and 1% live in 6 person households (2.5% 2001 census).

Section A

65+ = 110 respondents

Preschool only (PS) = 13 respondents

School age (5-19) = 56 Respondents (includes some with pre-school children but not those with PS only)

- 1 How many parish organisations do people in your household belong to?
 - Average = >1
 - Mode = 0
 - Over 65 = >1
 - Preschool = 0.14
 - School age only = 1.18

- 2 Where do your household's leisure groups and activities take place
 - In the parish = 42%
 - Outside of the parish = 69%
 - Over 65 = in Parish 41% out of parish 58%
 - Preschool = in Parish 38% out of parish 85%
 - School age only = in Parish 57% out of parish 71%

- 3 Does anyone in your household feel that they belong to an activity/interest group that is not catered for in the parish?
 - Yes = 14%
 - No = 69%
 - Over 65 = yes 7%, no 75%
 - Preschool = yes 8%, no 69%
 - School age only = yes 25%, no 59%

Description

Number adding comment = 7%

Of this 7% the breakdown of interests not catered for is as follows:

	ALL	PS = 1 65+ response	SA= 12 resp
art	10%	13%	0%
tennis	10%	0%	25%
music	10%	0%	17%
rugby	8%	0%	17%
football	8%	0%	25%
gym	8%	0%	17%
golf	5%	0%	0%
yoga	5%	0%	0%
school clubs	5%	0%	100%
swimming	5%	0%	8%
theatre	5%	25%	0%
dancing	5%	13%	8%
choral	5%	13%	0%
sewing	3%	0%	0%
cubs	3%	0%	8%
brownies	3%	0%	0%
cricket	3%	0%	8%
teenagers	3%	0%	8%
films	3%	0%	0%
walking	3%	13%	0%

4 Is anyone in your household involved with youth activities in the parish or elsewhere?

- Yes = 18%
- No = 78%
- Over 65 yes = 8% no = 85%
- Preschool = yes = 38% no = 46%
- School age only = yes = 50% no = 48%

Number adding description = 3%

Of this 3% the breakdown of involvement is as follows:

	All	65+	PS = 5 response s	SA = 22 resp
cubs, brownies, guides, beavers, scouts	33%	0%	0%	75%
music	18%	33%	0%	42%
rugby	10%	0%	0%	25%
drama	10%	33%	0%	17%
tennis	8%	0%	0%	25%
scouts	5%	0%	0%	8%
table tennis	5%	0%	0%	17%
playgroup	5%	0%	40%	0%
football	5%	0%	0%	17%
after school	5%	0%	0%	0%
Sunday club	5%	0%	20%	8%
pfors	3%	0%	0%	8%
bellringers	3%	0%	0%	8%
swimming	3%	0%	0%	8%
angling	3%	0%	0%	0%
sailing	3%	0%	0%	8%

And locations mentioned (all) are as follows:

School	23%
Village hall	8%
Cashes Green	8%
Thomas Keble	3%

5 If you answered yes to question 4 and the activity takes place outside the parish would you prefer for it to take place in the parish?

- Yes = 4% (of those who answered yes to Q4 = 25%)
 1. 65+ yes = 1% of total (11% yes to q4)
 2. PS yes = 31% (80% yes to q4)
 3. SA yes = 9% (18% yes to q4)
- No = 17% (of those who answered yes to Q4 = 98%)
 1. 65+ no = 16% of total (200% of yes to q 4)
 2. PS no = 15% (40% yes to q4)
 3. SA no = 21% (43% yes to q4)

Only 1% made any comment (0% 65+, 0% PS, 7% SA)

6 Are members of your household involved with sports activities?

- Yes = 39%
 1. 65+ 15%
 2. PS 77%
 3. SA 68%
- No = 54%
 1. 65+ 75%
 2. PS 23%
 3. SA 23%

	All	65+	PS	SA
swimming	25%	19%	38%	41%
squash	16%	0%	0%	31%
football	14%	6%	25%	21%
badminton	14%	0%	0%	24%
biking/cycling	12%	0%	0%	17%
golf	10%	25%	0%	3%
rugby	8%	6%	13%	10%
running	7%	6%	13%	7%
cricket	7%	0%	13%	3%
gym	7%	0%	0%	10%
walking	7%	6%	0%	0%
table tennis	5%	0%	0%	14%
yoga	5%	0%	0%	3%
horse riding	5%	6%	0%	7%
trampolining	4%	0%	13%	10%
hockey	4%	0%	13%	10%
bowls	4%	13%	0%	0%
skiing	4%	0%	0%	3%
athletics	4%	0%	0%	10%
skittles	3%	0%	0%	3%
ballet	2%	0%	0%	7%
kick boxing	2%	0%	0%	3%
judo	2%	0%	0%	7%
skating	2%	0%	0%	3%
shooting	2%	0%	0%	0%
fishing	2%	0%	0%	0%
keep fit	2%	13%	0%	0%
gliding	1%	6%	0%	0%
darts	1%	0%	0%	0%
dancing	1%	0%	0%	0%
sailing	1%	6%	0%	0%
kung fu	1%	0%	0%	3%
climbing	1%	0%	0%	3%
windsurfing	1%	0%	0%	0%

7 If you answered yes to question 6 and your activity takes place outside the parish would you prefer for it to take place in the parish?

- Yes = 11% (29% of those who answered yes to q 6)
 1. over 65, 4% - yes to q 6 = 24%
 2. PS 8% - 10% of yes to q6
 3. SA 27% - 39% of yes to q6

- No = 27% (68% of those who answered yes to q 6)
 1. over 65, 17% - yes to q 6 = 112%
 2. PS 38% - 50% of yes to q6
 3. SA 39% - 58% of yes to q6

Comment: only 7% (2% over 65) commented, of these:

	All	65+	PS	SA
not practical/feasible	24%	0%	33%	10%
tennis	20%	0%	33%	20%
bridle ways in woods for cycling/riding	4%	0%	0%	10%
swimming pool	4%	0%	0%	10%
skittle alley	4%	0%	0%	10%

8 Do you do other physical leisure activities?

- Yes = 54%
 1. over 65 = 39%
 2. PS = 77%
 3. SA = 70%
- No = 40%
 1. over 65 = 54%
 2. PS = 23%
 3. SA = 25%

indicated what other physical activity as follows (of those that answered):

	all	65+	PS	SA
walking	70%	66%	63%	74%
cycling	16%	5%	25%	26%
swimming	11%	13%	13%	9%
gym	11%	13%	13%	6%
gardening	8%	16%	0%	3%
running	5%	3%	0%	9%
dancing	5%	8%	0%	6%
skiing	3%	3%	13%	6%
yoga	2%	0%	0%	0%
golf	1%	0%	0%	0%
badminton	1%	0%	0%	0%
bowls	1%	3%	0%	0%
climbing	1%	0%	0%	3%

9 If you answered yes to q 8 is your activity catered for in the parish or elsewhere?

- Parish = 34% (of those who answered Yes to Q8 = 63%)
 1. over 65 = 22%/58%
 2. PS = 54%/70%
 3. SA = 52%/74%
- Elsewhere = 44% (of those who answered Yes to Q8 = 88%)
 1. over 65 = 34%/86%
 2. PS = 62%/80%
 3. SA = 46%/67%

10 How many people in your household use the Village Hall on a regular basis

- Weekly = 9%
 1. over 65 = 3%
 2. PS = 8%
 3. SA = 23%
- Monthly = 15%
 1. Over 65 = 24%
 2. PS = 15%
 3. SA = 11%

Of these the frequency of use per week is as follows:

	ALL	65+	PS	SA
1=	70%	67%	100%	46%
2=	27%	33%	0%	46%
3=	3%	0%	0%	8%
4=	0%	0%	0%	0%
5=	0%	0%	0%	0%

Of these the frequency of use per month is as follows:

	ALL	65+	PS	SA
1=	83%	92%	50%	50%
2=	12%	8%	0%	17%
3=	4%	0%	50%	17%
4=	0%	0%	0%	0%
5=	0%	0%	0%	0%
6=	0%	0%	0%	0%
7=	2%	0%	0%	17%

11 How many times per year do members of your household use the Village Hall on an occasional basis?

41% answered 1 or more times as follows

65+ 35%

PS 54%

SA 61%

	ALL	65+	PS	SA
1=	10%	8%	0%	9%
2=	18%	8%	0%	15%
3=	4%	8%	14%	9%
4=	14%	13%	14%	12%
5=	2%	0%	0%	0%
6=	16%	15%	29%	15%
7=	1%	3%	0%	0%
8=	3%	3%	29%	3%
9=	0%	0%	0%	0%
10=	7%	10%	0%	12%
11=	1%	3%	0%	0%
12=	8%	13%	0%	12%
13=	1%	0%	0%	0%
14=	1%	5%	0%	0%
15=	1%	0%	14%	0%
16=	0%	0%	0%	0%
17=	0%	0%	0%	0%
18=	0%	0%	0%	0%
19=	0%	0%	0%	0%
20=	6%	3%	0%	6%
20+	7%	7%	7%	7%

12 What do you use the village hall for?

	ALL	65+	PS	SA
Parties and social	64%	51%	114%	88%
Productions	16%	10%	29%	24%
gardening club	14%	26%	0%	0%
historical association	10%	23%	0%	0%
WI	10%	28%	0%	0%
WAP	9%	8%	14%	12%
meetings	9%	13%	0%	9%
school events	8%	3%	0%	26%
playgroup/toddlers	7%	3%	43%	12%
Happy Circle	4%	10%	0%	0%
horticultural show	4%	5%	0%	9%

guides	4%	3%	0%	12%
Parish Council	3%	5%	0%	0%
Table tennis	3%	0%	0%	9%
voting	2%	3%	0%	3%
Judo	2%	0%	0%	9%
Race Nights	1%	3%	0%	3%
Cubs	1%	0%	0%	3%

13 Should there be improvements to the village hall facilities//building?

- Yes = 43%
 1. 65+ 45%
 2. PS 38%
 3. SA 59%
- No = 17%
 1. 65+ 17%
 2. PS 15%
 3. SA 21%

What would improve your use of the village hall (% is of those who answered yes to question 13)

	All	65+	<u>PSSA</u>	
general upgrading/updating/refurbishing	26%	28%	40%	21%
update/better kitchen	21%	34%	40%	6%
update/better toilets	21%	24%	60%	15%
make larger	8%	6%	0%	21%
changing rooms/showers	7%	10%	20%	0%
decoration	6%	8%	0%	6%
storage	4%	6%	20%	6%
improved stage facilities (inc screening facilities)	3%	2%	0%	3%
better seating	3%	2%	0%	3%
easier to keep clean	2%	0%	40%	0%
better/more parking	2%	2%	0%	6%
better security	1%	0%	20%	3%
rebuild	1%	0%	0%	3%

14 Should there be improvements to the Village Hall events and activities?

- Yes = 22%
 1. 65+ 14%
 2. PS 31%
 3. SA 38%
- No = 27%
 1. 65+ 34%
 2. PS 23%
 3. SA 30%

What would improve your use of the village hall (% is of those who answered yes to question 14)

	All	65+	PS	SA
dances/music/concerts	18%	7%	25%	24%
youth clubs and activities	16%	13%	75%	29%
whole village events	11%	0%	0%	19%
better information about what's on	4%	7%	25%	0%
exercise classes/yoga	4%	0%	0%	0%
historical talks	3%	7%	0%	0%
parking/access/transport to it	3%	7%	0%	0%
art	1%	0%	0%	0%
cinema	1%	0%	0%	0%
shop	1%	0%	0%	5%
quizzes	1%	0%	0%	5%

15 Please state the 3 most important uses of Randwick playing field for your household

	all	65+	PS	SA
sports/running/exercise	22%	3%	31%	38%
playground	22%	4%	54%	57%
walking	19%	3%	31%	25%
children's amenity	14%	4%	15%	29%
wap	10%	3%	8%	21%
dog walking specifically	5%	1%	0%	5%
general leisure	5%	1%	0%	5%
school activities	3%	0%	0%	13%
parking	2%	0%	0%	7%
flora and fauna	1%	1%	0%	0%

16 Have you ever attended a Parish council Meeting?

- Yes = 22%
 1. 65+ 30%
 2. PS 8%
 3. SA 27%

- No = 69%
 1. 65+ 64%
 2. PS 77%
 3. SA 70%

17 Do you know a Parish Councillor?

- Yes = 45%
 1. 65+ 59%
 2. PS 38%
 3. SA 46%

- No = 46%
 1. 65+ 35%
 2. PS 54%
 3. SA 46%

Which Parish Councillors do you know?

	ALL	65+	PS	SA
Rodman	33%	15%	0%	38%
Holmes	23%	10%	0%	23%
Parish	19%	12%	0%	19%
Hitchman	17%	11%	20%	8%
Huxford	16%	5%	20%	15%
Waite	15%	5%	20%	19%
Taylor	13%	5%	20%	15%
Cant	11%	4%	0%	15%
All	8%	6%	0%	8%
Watkins	3%	1%	0%	0%
Badham	3%	0%	0%	8%
Rendell	3%	0%	0%	12%
Durrans	2%	1%	0%	0%
Stanley	1%	1%	0%	4%
Elliott	1%	0%	0%	4%
Scutt	1%	0%	0%	4%
Williams	1%	0%	0%	0%
Trask	1%	0%	0%	0%

18 Do you feel you belong to a minority group that the parish plan should be aware of/cater for?

- Yes = 7%
 1. 65+ 7%
 2. PS 0%
 3. SA 13%

- No = 77%
 1. 65+ 71%
 2. PS 100%
 3. SA 75%

	ALL	65+	PS	SA
working/single parents	9%	0%	0%	29%
older person/caring for elderly	9%	4%	0%	0%
Live in Westrip	9%	4%	0%	0%
young people	4%	0%	0%	14%
Smoker	4%	0%	0%	0%
catholic	4%	0%	0%	0%

SECTION A COMMENTS

Only 20% of respondents (15% 65+, 38% PS, 36% SA) made a comment – of these the mentions were as follows:

	ALL	65+	PS	SA
leisure facilities	49%	12%	140%	45%
village hall	16%	6%	20%	20%
Art	10%	3%	20%	5%
pub	10%	3%	20%	5%
Facilities for youth	9%	0%	40%	15%
Access	7%	3%	0%	0%
Planning	3%	1%	0%	5%
Wap	3%	0%	0%	0%
Beauty	1%	0%	0%	5%
Dog walkers	1%	0%	0%	5%
annual bonfire	1%	0%	0%	5%
motor bikes/noise	1%	1%	0%	0%

Section B

1 - Does lack of suitable transport prevent people in your household getting to any of the following

	Shops	Schools	Doctors	Dentists	Opticians	Leisure Facilities
Total answered	90%	84%	91%	90%	90%	87%
No answer	34	54	31	33	33	43
No answer	10%	16%	9%	10%	10%	13%
Yes	20	9	20	19	18	16
% yes	6%	3%	6%	6%	5%	5%
No	285	276	288	286	288	280
% No	84%	81%	85%	84%	84%	83%

65+

	Shops	Schools	Doctors	Dentists	Opticians	Leisure Facilities
Total answered	87%	77%	88%	86%	86%	82%
% yes	5%	2%	6%	6%	6%	5%
% No	82%	75%	82%	80%	80%	77%

PS

	Shops	Schools	Doctors	Dentists	Opticians	Leisure Facilities
Total answered						
% yes	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%
% No	83%	92%	92%	92%	92%	83%

SA

	Shops	Schools	Doctors	Dentists	Opticians	Leisure Facilities
Total answered						
% yes	14%	9%	12%	11%	11%	11%
% No	81%	84%	84%	84%	84%	82%

2 Does anyone in your household need a car as part of their work or just getting there?

	Use a car to get to work (paid or voluntary)	Use a car as part of work (paid or voluntary)
Total answered	91%	81%
No answer	31	34
No answer	9%	19%
Yes	228	117
% yes	67%	35%
No	80	158
% No	24%	47%

--	--

65+

	Use a car to get to work (paid or voluntary)	Use a car as part of work (paid or voluntary)
Total answered	83%	76%
% yes	32%	10%
% No	51%	66%

PS

	Use a car to get to work (paid or voluntary)	Use a car as part of work (paid or voluntary)
Total answered	92%	100%
% yes	83%	50%
% No	8%	50%

SA

	Use a car to get to work (paid or voluntary)	Use a car as part of work (paid or voluntary)
Total answered	95%	32%
% yes	89%	56%
% No	7%	30%

3. If buses ran more frequently and were linked to other transport timetables would it reduce your household's use of the car?

- Yes = 89
- %Yes = 26%
 - 65+ 20%
 - PS 42%
 - SA 40%
- No = 213
- %No = 63%
 - 65+ 61%
 - PS 58%
 - SA 56%
- No answer = 19
- No answer % = 6%

4. Is someone in your household responsible for caring for

	A child under 5	A child under 16	An older person	Someone with a long term illness or disability
Yes	22	52	26	28
% yes	6%	15%	8%	8%
No	239	222	244	241
% No	71%	65%	72%	71%

OVER 65

	A child under 5	A child under 16	An older person	Someone with a long term illness or disability
Yes	0	2	12	12
% yes	0%	2%	11%	11%
No	77	76	74	74
% No	70%	69%	67%	67%

PS

	A child under 5	A child under 16	An older person	Someone with a long term illness or disability
Yes	10	4	0	0
% yes	83%	33%%	0%	0%
No	2	5	8	7
% No	17%%	42%	67%	58%

SA

	A child under 5	A child under 16	An older person	Someone with a long term illness or disability
Yes	6	41	5	3
% yes	11%	72%	9%	5%
No	34	14	36	35
% No	60%	25%	63%	61%

5. If answered yes to any part of Q4- How well informed do you feel about the services available locally to help you in your caring role?

	Very informed	Reasonably informed	Not informed enough	Not answered
No answer				12
Yes	18	60	38	
% Yes of total	5	18	11	
% Yes of people answering q4*	14%	47%	30%	9%

OVER 65

	Very informed	Reasonably informed	Not informed enough
Yes	6	12	7
% Yes of total	5%	11%	6%
% Yes of people answering q4*	23%	46%	27%

PS

	Very informed	Reasonably informed	Not informed enough
Yes	1	7	4
% Yes of total	8%	58%	33%

SA

	Very informed	Reasonably informed	Not informed enough
Yes	5	21	12
% Yes of total	9%	37%	21%

*N.b. there may be some overlap with numbers of people caring for someone who is both elderly/young and disabled but numbers here are taken as discrete numbers.

6. If you answered yes in q 4 to caring for someone with a disability or a long term illness, do you get the support in caring you need?

- Yes = 20
- Yes% = 6% (of total survey)
 - 65+ a) 10% of all 65+s, b) 92% of 65+ who said they cared for someone with a disability
 - PS n/a
 - SA 33% (of the 5 that said yes to caring for someone with a disability)
- No = 17
- No%= 5% (of total survey)
 - – 65+ a) 5% of all 65+s, b) 42% of 65+ who said they cared for someone with a disability
 - PS n/a
 - SA 167% (of the 5 that said yes to caring for someone with a disability)

NB 28 people answered yes to this part of q4 rather than the 37 who have answered this supplementary. If we take the 28 as the total universe qualified to answer this then 71% answered yes and 61% answered no. for over 65s, 12 answered yes to caring for someone with a disability with 16 answering either yes or no to the supplementary.

B7. Improvement comment

	all		65+		PS		SA	
NO COMMENT	306	90%						
Knowledge/Existence of specific groups/clubs	13	4%	104	31%	8	67%	43	75%
Public transport improvements/Carshare	9	3%	1	0%	5	42%	5	9%
Information improvements	6	2%	3	1%	0	0%	6	11%
Youth specific facilities	4	1%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%
Child specific facilities	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	4	7%
Push chair/wheelchair access	1	0%	0	0%	1	8%	1	2%

B8.

- 67 people (20%) would be prepared to skill share
 - 14% 65+
 - 33% PS
 - 28% SA
- 211 (62%) people would not be prepared to skill share
 - 66% 65+
 - 50% PS
 - 53% SA
- 61 (18%) did not answer this question

B8 – skills willing to share

	all		65+		PS		SA	
NO COMMENT	282	83%	97	29%	8	67%	42	74%
Computer/IT	23	7%	8	2%	1	8%	3	5%
Reading	16	5%	5	1%	3	25%	2	4%
Gardening	13	4%	6	2%	0	0%	1	2%
Cooking	8	2%	2	1%	1	8%	2	4%
Music	7	2%	1	0%	0	0%	3	5%
Decorating/diy	7	2%	0	0%	2	17%	1	2%
Child Care	5	1%	1	0%	2	17%	0	0%
Finance/Money	4	1%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%
Art/crafts	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%
Yoga/massage	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%
dressmaking/needlework/knitting	3	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Interview/CV	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
English	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Marketing	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Education	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%
Fundraising	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Sports	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Counselling	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%
Photography	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ferreting	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%

B9. Do you think the people in your household are well enough informed about the parish

- Yes = 165
- Yes % = 49%
 - 65+ 54%
 - PS 42%
 - SA 49%
- No = 144
- No % = 42%
 - 65+35%
 - PS 50%
 - SA 46%
- No answer = 30
- No answer % = 9%

B10. Do you want to know more about the parish

- Yes = 153
- Yes % = 45%
 - 65+ 37%
 - PS 83%
 - SA 53%
- No = 140
- No % = 41%
 - 65+ 42%
 - PS 8%
 - SA 40%
- No answer = 46
- No answer % = 14%

B11. What do you use for local information and communication?

		all	65+	PS	SA
Runner	190	56%	62%	67%	61%
Notice board	66	19%	16%	8%	21%
School	7	2%	1%	0%	7%
Pub	13	4%	3%	17%	0%
word of mouth	38	11%	12%	8%	14%
Neighbours	14	4%	1%	25%	5%
Stroud News and Journal/local paper	70	21%	15%	17%	21%
Spa shop	11	3%	2%	0%	4%
Tidings	6	2%	3%	0%	2%
radio	2	1%	2%	0%	0%
Parish Councillors	3	1%	0%	0%	2%
No answer	69	20%	25%	17%	14%

B12. What could be done to improve local information and communication?

	all	65+	PS	SA
NO COMMENT	229 68%	87 26%	5 42%	33 58%
More and wider spread notice boards	34 10%	9 3%	0 0%	10 18%
Bigger better Runner with more contributions	28 8%	7 2%	6 50%	4 7%
Village newsletter	24 7%	4 1%	1 8%	3 5%
Improvement to and more access of website	13 4%	2 1%	1 8%	5 9%
Minutes of Parish Council and other organisation meetings	11 3%	1 0%	0 0%	4 7%
Village directory	2 1%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%
Post office/shop	2 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%

Section B comments about Parish Services

	all		65+		PS		SA	
No Comment	318	94%	99	29%	11	92%	55	96%
Public transport	4	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Parish council reports	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Feel isolated	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	1	2%
Better publicity	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Evening transport	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Section C

1 – Pedestrians are adequately catered for in the Parish

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	10	118	95	41	38	37
%	3%	35%	28%	12%	11%	11%
65+						
%	2%	30%	29%	8%		
PS						
%		0%	33%	42%	25%	0%
SA						
%		5%	35%	30%	19%	4%

2 Stone walls should be maintained and improved

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	137	170	1	2	13	16
%	40%	50%	0%	1%	4%	5%
65+						
%	30%	57%	1%	1%		
PS						
%	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%
SA						
%	40%	54%	2%	2%	2%	2%

3 – The green gap from The Fuzzies to Ruscombe Brook should not be built on

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	204	73	10	12	25	15
%	60%	22%	3%	4%	7%	4%
65+						
%	51%	27%	4%	3%		
PS						
%	67%	8%	0%	0%	25%	
SA						
%	72%	19%	2%	4%	2%	

4 – There should be more new houses within the parish

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	19	67	89	101	40	23
%	16%	20%	26%	30%	12%	7%
65+						
%	6%	15%	28%	22%	17%	
PS						
%	0%	42%	0%	33%	25%	
SA						
%	4%	16%	33%	37%	5%	

5 Any new building within the parish should only be infill

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	87	146	30	10	35	31
%	26%	43%	9%	3%	10%	9%
65+						
%	18%	45%	11%	3%	10%	
PS						
%	17%	50%	17%	0%	8%	
SA						
%	33%	44%	7%	2%	9%	

6 Any new building within the parish should include affordable housing?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	78	162	25	27	22	25
%	23%	48%	7%	8%	6%	7%
65+						
%	23%	49%	3%	6%	8%	
PS						
%	33%	33%	17%	17%	0%	
SA						
%	16%	51%	14%	9%	9%	

7 New building within the Parish should be in keeping with its surrounding

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	176	135	5	5	3	15
%	52%	40%	1%	1%	%	4%
65+						
%	45%	45%	2%	1%	1%	
PS						
%	67%	25%	8%	0%	0%	
SA						
%	65%	33%	0%	2%	0%	

8 Traffic speed through the Parish should be further controlled

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	144	110	49	12	10	14
%	42%	32%	14%	4%	3%	4%
65+						
%	45%	37%	10%	4%	1%	
PS						
%	58%	33%	0%	0%	8%	
SA						
%	56%	32%	12%	0%	0%	

9 Roadside parking should be controlled by the use of double yellow lines

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	73	84	98	50	17	17
%	22%	25%	29%	15%	5%	5%
65+						
%	23%	31%	31%	6%	3%	
PS						
%	25%	42%	8%	8%	8%	
SA						
%	23%	21%	33%	16%	4%	

10 There should be more street lighting in the Parish

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	35	85	107	37	48	27
%	12%	25%	32%	11%	14%	8%
65+						
%	9%	27%	27%	8%	19%	
PS						
%	33%	8%	33%	8%	17%	
SA						
%	14%	21%	39%	19%	7%	

11 Any reduction in the number of public buildings should be strongly opposed

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	155	121	13	12	15	23
%	46%	36%	4%	4%	4%	7%
65+						
%	41%	39%	3%	2%	7%	
PS						
%	58%	33%	8%	0%	0%	
SA						
%	51%	28%	9%	4%	5%	

Section C Comments

	all		65+		PS		SA	
NO COMMENT	268	79%	88	81%	8	67%	37	65%
Re: restrict more houses/low cost housing	42	12%	12	11%	3	25%	10	18%
Re: yellow lines/bumps/lights	16	5%	1	1%	3	25%	4	7%
Re: Speeding/traffic calming	12	4%	3	3%	1	8%	3	5%
Re: Parking	11	3%	6	6%	0	0%	2	4%
Re: Derelict areas	9	3%	1	1%	1	8%	5	9%
Re: Footpaths	6	2%	2	2%	1	8%	0	0%
Re: Lighting	6	2%	0	0%	2	17%	2	4%
Re: Cashes Green	3	1%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Re: Shop	3	1%	2	2%	0	0%	1	2%
Re: pubs	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	2%
Re: Village hall	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Re: Water	1	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%

Section D

1 – Air quality should be protected

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	141	128	8	1	36	26
%	42%	38%	2%	0%	11%	8%
65+ %	38%	38%	1%	0%	14%	
PS %	67%	17%	8%	0%	8%	
SA %	47%	42%	4%	0%	7%	

2 – Wildlife habitat should be protected

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	193	126	3	0	3	14
%	57%	37%	1%	0%	1%	4%
65+ %	56%	37%	2%	0%	0%	
PS %	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	
SA %	54%	44%	0%	0%	2%	

3 Land use in the parish is in keeping with the rural environment

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	73	194	19	5	27	21
%	22%	57%	6%	1%	8%	6%
65+ %	20%	57%	4%	2%	9%	
PS %	33%	42%	0%	0%	25%	
SA %	23%	58%	11%	2%	5%	

3a Comments re aspects you feel are not in keeping

What is not in keeping?	Number	% of total surveyed	% of those who commented	% 65+ of those commented	% PS of those commented	% SA of those commented
dumping/inappropriate storage/inappropriate use of land	22	6%	85%	43%	0%	90%
new houses/buildings	6	2%	23%	29%	0%	20%
chicken sheds	2	1%	8%	0%	0%	10%
motorcyclists in woods	1	0%	4%	0%	0%	10%

4 Excessive noise/noise pollution is an issue in the parish

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	30	74	150	14	39	69
%	9%	22%	44%	4%	12%	20%
65+ %	20%	57%	4%	2%	9%	
PS %	0%	8%	75%	0%	17%	
SA %	9%	21%	56%	7%	2%	

Comment on areas of concern

Excessive noise an issue	Number	% of total surveyed	% of those who commented	% of those who commented 65+	% of those who commented PS	% of those who commented SA
motorbikes in woods	28	8%	39%	31%	0%	93%
traffic	21	6%	30%	31%	0%	29%
barking dogs	12	4%	17%	24%	0%	14%
lawn cutting/garden machinery	10	3%	14%	17%	0%	14%
raves/parties/music	9	3%	13%	28%	0%	0%
fireworks	4	1%	6%	3%	0%	0%
neighbours	3	1%	4%	3%	0%	7%
pubs	3	1%	4%	7%	0%	0%
bells	3	1%	4%	7%	0%	0%
chickens/cockerels	2	1%	3%	0%	0%	14%
Ebley bypass	2	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%
alarms	1	0%	1%	3%	0%	93%

5 Increase in power and communications structures should be resisted

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	141	115	30	2	31	20
%	42%	34%	9%	1%	9%	6%
65+ %	38%	35%	7%	1%	13%	
PS %	50%	25%	8%	0%	17%	
SA %	47%	33%	12%	2%	4%	

6 Light pollution is an issue in the parish

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	24	43	140	9	79	44
%	7%	13%	41%	3%	23%	13%
65+ %	11%	15%	33%	0%	25%	
PS %	0%	17%	42%	0%	42%	
SA+ %	9%	11%	54%	9%	7%	

Comment on light pollution

Light pollution an issue comment	No.	% surveyed	% of comment ed	% of 65+ commented	% of PS commented	% of SA commented
security lights	15	4%	39%	38%	0%	30%
trees(too high/overgrown)	12	4%	32%	31%	100%	30%
street lighting	10	3%	26%	8%	100%	20%
TV masts/mobile phone masts	5	1%	13%	15%	0%	20%
neighbouring towns	2	1%	5%	0%	0%	0%
overhead cables	1	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Dogs	1	0%	3%	8%	0%	30%

7 Footpaths and other rights of way in the parish are satisfactory

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	?	No answer
Number	9	214	64	3	23	26
%	3%	63%	19%	1%	7%	8%
65+ %	4%	59%	20%	1%	6%	
PS %	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	
SA %	7%	70%	19%	2%	4%	

7a comment

Paths - comment on improvement	No	% surveyed	% comment ed	% comment ed 65+	% comment ed PS	% comment ed SA
overgrown/cutting/maintenance	28	8%	41%		57%	67%
hedges/trees overgrown	12	4%	17%		17%	33%
signage	9	3%	13%		4%	0%
stiles	6	2%	9%		0%	33%
cleaning after dogs	4	1%	6%		4%	33%
2000 steps	3	1%	4%		0%	33%
more lighting	3	1%	4%		0%	0%
rights of way blocked	3	1%	4%		0%	33%
rubbish	3	1%	4%		0%	0%
disabled access	3	1%	4%		9%	0%
uneven	2	1%	3%		4%	0%
more paths	1	0%	1%		4%	67%

8 Can you suggest any ways that we could improve energy use in the parish?

D8 Suggestions for energy improvement	Number	% of those surveyed	% of those who comment ed	65+ of those who comment ed	PS of those who comment ed	SA of those who comment ed
wind turbine	33	10%	52%		0%	0%
solar	19	6%	30%		55%	100%
more recycling	4	1%	6%		0%	0%
cut use of cars/use local	4	1%	6%		0%	0%
reduce use of electricity - at home and street lights	4	1%	6%		9%	0%
grants	3	1%	5%		0%	0%
collective energy buying	2	1%	3%		0%	0%
communications/awareness	2	1%	3%		0%	0%
insulation	2	1%	3%		9%	0%
renewable	1	0%	2%		0%	0%
composting	1	0%	2%		0%	0%

9. Which of the following ways do you think would be of use?

	Agree	65+ agree	PS agree	SA agree	Dis-agree	65+ Dis-agree	PS Dis-agree	SA dis-agree
Central areas for recycling	58%	43%	50%	63%	27%	34%	25%	30%
More frequent collection of recycling	48%	31%	50%	70%	34%	40%	33%	25%
Wider range of materials collected	78%	68%	92%	91%	9%	10%	0%	5%
Community compost heaps	50%	36%	58%	54%	32%	39%	25%	30%
Collective runs to the recycling centres	44%	36%	58%	46%	30%	28%	17%	37%

10. Ways to recycle easier - comment

comment	Number	% of those surveyed	% of those who commented	% of those who commented 65+	% of those who commented PS	% of those who commented SA
more items collected	35	10%	42%	26%	17%	63%
more bins/boxes	22	6%	27%	26%	8%	31%
garden waste solutions	12	4%	14%	21%	17%	19%
regular village skip/recycling vehicle	7	2%	8%	0%	0%	6%
central areas to leave rubbish	7	2%	8%	11%	0%	13%
advice/information	5	1%	6%	0%	0%	0%
wheelie bins	3	1%	4%	5%	0%	0%
more dog waste bins	3	1%	4%	11%	8%	6%
limit number of waste bins put out/charge by weight	3	1%	4%	5%	0%	0%
weekly/more regular recycling	2	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
shredding facility	2	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
reduce use of plastic bags	1	0%	1%	0%	17%	63%

Environment section comment

Comment	Number	% of those surveyed	% of those who commented	% of those who commented 65+	% of those who commented PS	% of those who commented SA
recycle more	14	4%	22%	26%	25%	17%
left natural/rural	8	2%	13%	21%	75%	42%
less rubbish/litter	7	2%	11%	5%	0%	17%
less motor bikes in woods	4	1%	6%	0%	0%	8%
community facilities - recycling/orchard	4	1%	6%	5%	0%	0%
bonfires restrictions	4	1%	6%	0%	0%	0%
general maintenance (paths/woods/houses/gardens etc)	3	1%	5%	0%	0%	0%
dog mess to be cleared up	3	1%	5%	11%	0%	0%
one way system/reduce rat run road use	2	1%	3%	11%	0%	0%
protect wildlife	2	1%	3%	5%	0%	0%
more collections	2	1%	3%	11%	0%	0%
wheelie bins	2	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%
wind turbines/alternative energy	2	1%	3%	5%	50%	8%
parish footpath map	1	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
easier stiles/access to woods and footpaths	1	0%	2%	0%	25%	0%

Summary section additional comments

	all	65+	PS	SA
Resist development	1%	2%	0%	4%
Improve parking/yellow lines	1%	1%	0%	4%
Improve Wap	1%	0%	0%	2%
Manage traffic/SPEED	3%	1%	0%	0%
Manage dogs	0%	1%	8%	0%
Improve road maintenance	1%	0%	0%	2%
Maintain green belt	0%	0%	0%	2%
Clarify voting boundaries	0%	0%	8%	4%
Westrip	2%	1%	0%	0%
Maintain/improve playing fields	1%	3%	0%	0%
Have wheelie bins	0%	0%	0%	0%
Improve signage	1%	3%	0%	0%
Better Information sources	1%	1%	0%	2%
Improve paths	2%	0%	8%	0%
Reduce vandalism	1%	0%	8%	4%
Don't feel belong to village	2%	3%	0%	0%
Shop/post office	1%	2%	0%	2%
Litter	1%	1%	0%	4%

Quality of life comments

	% of total number of respondents = 339	% of total number who made comments = 126	% of total number who made comments 65+ = 50	% of total number who made comments PS= 6	% of total number who made comments SA = 37
traffic	22%	59%	46%	50%	51%
village hall	11%	30%	8%	33%	27%
shop/post office	9%	24%	12%	67%	24%
good as is	7%	18%	22%	0%	5%
public transport/access	7%	18%	22%	17%	19%
more involvement/more activities	6%	16%	2%	17%	3%
parking	6%	16%	10%	33%	5%
Paths	5%	14%	0%	50%	27%
youth activities	5%	13%	4%	17%	0%
more/better policing - reduction in vandalism	4%	12%	10%	0%	8%
litter	4%	12%	8%	17%	5%
trees	4%	11%	8%	33%	5%
motorbikes in the woods	4%	10%	8%	33%	5%
better/more information	3%	9%	4%	0%	8%
dogs	3%	7%	0%	0%	5%
recycling/garden waste	3%	7%	2%	0%	5%
affordable housing	3%	7%	6%	0%	0%
development/housing	2%	6%	0%	0%	5%
Sandpits lane limits	2%	6%	2%	0%	3%
digital TV	2%	6%	0%	0%	3%
bonfires	2%	6%	0%	0%	3%
Westrip	2%	6%	12%	0%	3%
noise pollution	2%	5%	4%	0%	3%
power cuts and electricity	1%	3%	4%	0%	3%

street lighting/light pollution	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
water supply	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%
chicken farm	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
stiles	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%
broadband	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Roads/road maintenance	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%

Key topics

Given that we have included a lot of opportunity for comment throughout the questionnaire, we have included here a list of words and the number of times they appeared throughout all of the comments. We felt this might give an indication of the topics that are on people's minds and give us some order of importance when trying to develop an action plan.

mention of specific items across all free questions

bonfires/fire/smoke	240
runner	223
traffic/speed	195
social/events	186
wildlife/birds/woods	127
child/children	121
notices/communications	113
gardens	74
village hall	68
playing field	65
parking	62
public transport/bus	51
motor bikes	50
security/safety/police	49
trees	48
shops/post office	46
noise	40
building	38

APPENDIX IV

FREE FORM COMMENTS FROM QUESTIONNAIRE

REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE ONLY

Questionnaire - Social and Leisure – comments

- appreciate beauty of countryside and would like that kept; upset that dog walkers don't clean up after them
- Parish Council should be more forceful re planning issues - they appear not to want to get involved
- annual Nov 5th bonfire on playing field; outside summer concert on playing field
- occupation of teenagers - evenings and holidays. Perhaps village hall could have an annex which has table tennis etc permanently fixed
- an after school/holiday club would support parents and children, provide a place for working parents to meet and encourage child-friendly village and school
- leisure club facilities with the village should be better advertised - e.g. brownies/cubs - what age can children start?
- Stratford Park obviates need for similar facilities; general upgrading of village hall would be in keeping
- should have a village social committee
- limited mobility (arthritis) wish for church meetings or services in VH: no longer able to walk up the hill; taxis expensive
- We live in Westrip, which is a small village. If you want leisure activities, you should go to the Stratford park leisure centre!
- Young people of this household feel that they are a social minority and not always catered for.
- Well catered for - a good community spirit
- I was very disappointed with this years Wap, more people from outside the village need to come, generate more money fro the village, young people should have a part in the organisation of the Wap, that's who it's aimed at.
- If people don't use the pubs in the parish they should not be surprised if they are lost to redevelopment
- new to area would like events and groups to be more publicised so could get more involved
- am not involved with Randwick and do not even visit the area as more interested in Cashes Green by convenience
- perhaps more communication with residents in extreme south of parish to advertise leisure pastimes
- vine tree important social centre, school could be major community resource (and isn't)
- think more happening at VH than known so good to find out what
- I would be happy to get involved in organising local events. I use the Stroud area regularly for everything I need - it would be nice not to get the car out - I feel very isolated in the village without kids - have been here 6 months
- An area for skaters of all ages to use on regular basis A small flat surface with a bar or a ramp or two

- More social activities for pensioners
- There is a lot going on in the Village - Church, Pubs, V.Hall do well, and cricket/football, for people to join if they are interested. But there is a lack of small meeting facilities and The School (Randwick) doesn't have a hall for its indoor activities
- Local fetes/Randwick Wap keeps up traditional village life. Maybe organised walks around woods + parish in summer to include pub meal during day?
- Don't live in centre of Randwick so playing field etc does not feature in our lives as much as others
- Realistically, things are good. If you live in a village how much can you expect?
- To overcome the poor showers in the village hall I think a cricket/football pavilion with showers/changing rooms could be an important asset and free up space in the village hall.
- Smoking ban in local pubs, Kissing gates installed instead of high or awkward stiles for walkers with less mobility
- We have lived in the parish for 3½ years and were very surprised at the amount of differing meetings available for a village the size of Randwick

Questionnaire - Services – comments

- Don't see reports of Parish Council meetings
- Runner and notice boards are patchy; those involved are in the know but difficult for others to get involved/attend
- Was impressed with 'newcomers' package when arrived here - little info since
- Live near 37 bus route so no difficulties; higher up buses are difficult
- Evening transport would be good for concerts, playhouse etc
- Signposts to Westrip
- Village plan with house names &/or numbers on display
- More police
- Indoor activity centre for children for wet weather
- How do I give to my community?
- We do not feel part of Randwick
- Know what time recycling collection is so that we don't miss it; village shop

Questionnaire – Built Environment – comments

- Please don't spoil the look of village with double yellow lines, speed bumps, flashing lights etc
- No more development because the roads cannot take any more traffic. Every new homes generates at least two more cars
- Suggest that pubs are not financially viable for their tenants - be taken over by the parish council before a final decision is made
- Housing needs to be low cost for young people; no further housing to be allowed in Randwick
- Small in-fill building should be allowed but no developments of 4+ houses anywhere. Need bungalows
- Rebuild the village hall
- Randwick's main problem is lack of footpaths and we need single file on parts of the main road
- Infill of the scruffy parts would improve the village; chicken sheds; building yard at top of lightwood lane
- Affordable housing should go to young people with strong parish connections only and that should be the only building priority
- Lighting needed for ladders
- Stonewalls should be maintained but it may be beyond the means of the owners to have them rebuilt; dangerous overtaking parked cars on the main road
- AONB on Cotswold way should be respected and maintained for wildlife and future generations
- Affordable housing should be restricted to people with village connections; best traffic calming is parked cars
- New building should be in town not in country locations
- Build houses from Fuzzies to Townsend but not beyond
- To allow some moderate building in the parish should prevent the area becoming exclusive for older people, allowing young couples / families to buy or rent
- Speed limit of 20mph as other villages in Stroud - all extensions etc. built in keeping!
- Derelict areas, e.g. at Wood end where old chicken sheds are situated could be developed
- Lighting should be non-polluting. Lack of parking restrictions has impeded access by emergency services. Positioning of yellow lines should accommodate residents.
- Would be nice to see scout hut in ash lane reused - perhaps barn bunking - for walkers - in conjunction with Cotswold way?
- Too many people with garages & drives park on the road
- Maintain green belt around village
- Shut school and focus investment on Cashes Green
- Some short sections of pavement between The Change and The Lane would provide some safety for schoolchildren and act as natural chicanes
- Village shop would be appreciated
- New housing should be affordable housing for local people/families (not large 'executive' houses)
- Stop sign at Westrip Lane out of 60 car 59 failed to stop motorist still to fast on Randwick Road
- The rural character of the parish MUST be maintained at all costs.
- In order to retain these [public] buildings, residents & visitors need to be encouraged to use/support them!
- Lack of water pressure.
- Some flexibility should be considered regarding small (eg3/4 dwellings) development just outside the village building lines/boundaries (e.g. Retirement/&first time buyers)
- New housing should be infill only and village envelope should be strongly maintained - i.e. gap between Randwick/Ruscombe/Whiteshill should be left
- Parked cars between the Change and the bend above and opposite Blenheim House are a particular hazard, especially just below the Change
- Mother & children walking up church road are vulnerable.
- We must also retain the Church as a place of worship.
- I would be in favour of affordable housing providing it was well designed and innovative, not more of the tacky red brick boxes.
- Church road through village should be made one way to traffic
- I do not use the village but I am interested in the village remaining and keeping its services; you have lost the shop and post office since I moved here

Quality of Life comments

At the end of the questionnaire we asked respondents to give state three things which would improve their quality of life in Randwick.

- More people involved in village activities
- Quality of life in parish is good but decrease of dumping litter and light pollution would be good
- Words fail me It's all pretty good
- Reduction of through traffic
- Severn Trent to replace clanking cover on road just above war memorial
- Removal or reduction in height of all evergreen trees affecting others, possibly extending to self sets which have been allowed to blight other people's lives and property
- 20 mph speed limit through village
- Kissing gates instead of stiles
- Better public transport
- Village shop and post office
- Having lightwood lane swept and cleaned regularly
- After school/holiday club
- More off road horse riding
- Improve village hall incorporate village shop
- Smokeless fuel only
- Control of dogs and dog faeces
- Less vandalism
- Parish newsletter indicating what's on - interest groups
- Better parenting of children
- Closure of sandpits lane at nursing home
- Speed limits sandpits lane
- Better water supply
- Community compost recycling
- More police
- More convenient local bus stop
- Nothing-I do not feel lower part of village is important to councillors
- A job and child minding opportunities
- Post office
- Wheelie bins
- More activities for old people at scout hut or Cashes Green School
- Garden rubbish collection
- Barriers to stop motorcycles in Humphrey's Close
- More designated parking
- We live on the edge of the Village, quite contentedly, and can think of no particular changes that would significantly change that
- Prevention of littering
- The occasional police patrol would be welcomed
- Not being bothered by salesmen at my door or by phone
- Broadband
- Digital freeview & channel 5
- A youth club
- A few more evening activities
- Help getting to venue from Humphries Close
- Bus stop nearer house
- Bus service (evenings)
- (Often neglected by both parishes) Recognition of border lines I live in both
- More knowledge about parish social activities
- Dog Warden
- Limit the sale of houses for second homes
- Improved community information
- Parish newsletter

- Pathway resurfaced and pavement
- To know the green areas around the village will not be developed
- Less local use of vehicles for short journeys
- Home of my own
- Ban on bonfires
- More awareness of litter
- Council tenants being forced to keep their properties tidy
- Refurbish village hall in natural stone
- No military aircraft
- Fewer power cuts
- Restrict parking along the lane
- Permanently affordable housing
- Parking for visitors
- More activities for 13yrs+
- Re-instate footpath from Ash Lane across field to the top of the 'Fuzzies'
- Proper grass cutting on estates & removal of grass
- More activities for young children to keep them off the streets
- Cheaper bus fares
- Open space's retained
- Having the area in Hawthorn Rise tidied. I have to continuously have to look out on this area.
- No more new houses built
- Road maintenance improved not just patched up
- Reduce use of very bright halogen security lights
- Lower community charge
- To be certain that village school will remain open.
- Good vegetarian food at local pubs!
- Noise pollution reduced
- No motor bikes in the woods
- Making the woods a safer place
- Easier access for emergency vehicles - less parked cars in inappropriate places
- Reassurance that village hall use will continue along current lines
- Neighbours keeping their gardens tidy
- Clean up of chicken farm sheds
- Surveillance of top of ash lane to stop vandalism and motorbikes
- Improve playground
- Removal of vandalised cars in woods
- Prevention of car usage in woods
- Renew benches at village hall
- Get Tesco to deliver for non computer users
- Petrol station
- Contact numbers for lifts to church, PC meetings, WI (paying passengers)
- Prosecution of fly tippers
- Raised sections to slow traffic and help OAPs cross road
- Removal/limitation of high fences/ trees that spoil views
- More bench seating in hills
- Improvements to road and pavement surfaces
- Better, more frequent bus services
- Craft things in hall at Cashes Green i.e. keep fit crafts etc
- More community fun days (like the Wap)
- More info for us southerners we don't know you exist
- Children on small motor bikes (Westrip Place)
- Ban on car horns
- Maintenance of stone walls to preserve traditional appearance of village
- Garden waste recycling centre
- No litter and improved maintenance of hedges and verges
- Better community activities - drama, yoga, beer festival, live music

- Neighbour hood watch "phone chain" designated agents.
- Provide more litter bins and poop scoops around the area
- More public transport especially a bus at coming home from school
- A sensible approach to Village Hall improvements
- Improve pedestrian provision
- More involvement of School in Community
- Garages appear to be used for storage rather than family cars Parking spaces that are allocated to properties are not used
- Have a newsletter delivered to Hawthorn Rise
- No phone masts to disfigure the Cotswold Way.
- We need to maintain/improve neighbourhood watch on crime
- Litter picks (periodic)
- No refuse put out before day of collection
- Sunday newspaper delivery
- Reduce parking on playing field - make parking on GCC land at bottom of Ocker Hill for school teachers and School Meetings during week, and for cricketers at weekend
- A web site to tell of urgent needs/crime/persons to watch out
- Clearing of Footpaths - We live near path from the Carpenters to Tynings This has not been cleared for ages. Fence needs attention
- Protection of green areas/wildlife habitats
- Residents of Randwick to use roads only
- Lightwood lane hedges uncared for
- Farms at the top of Randwick an eyesore
- Range of whole village events and activities
- Sensitive building and renovation
- Pub to open all day Sunday
- Community learning facility (to learn languages/IT/meet others)
- Villagers to repair their tumble down walls
- More children's clubs
- Improve pushchair access along lagger and footpaths
- Village map on notice board to help delivery drivers
- Improvements to surface water drainage in red house lane
- Regular events, perhaps quarterly, fetes, bazaars, fireworks, race nights, quizzes, film shows
- Pavements-footpaths
- Public spaces weeded & lawns mown: dog mess cleaned up.4. Dog warden
- Church services in scout hut
- Better safety for pedestrians on roads.
- No city people moving in trying to take over.
- Better litter collection and care of public spaces
- Less fly tipping in national trust areas
- Reversing lessons for all drivers. Gated road at Lane
- Less/ slower traffic through the village
- Evening concerts (like party in the park - Victory Park)
- More advertising, locally (south) and in paper
- Better maintenance of village field
- Regular clear-up of litter, esp. at top of Ash Lane/woods
- Better designed styles for continued walking of footpaths
- Consider activities for 30 somethings without children!! (Sorry to be negative but in 6 months have met no-one and had no opportunities to socialise with a group.
- Social parish meeting in local pub. Friendly atmosphere & supporting local landlord.
- No loud fireworks
- More support from locals for the Vine Tree
- Co-ordination of support for those who need it.
- Stopping large vehicles coming through the village
- Re-assurance of no further housing development in the parish other than in its urban parts on in-fill sites.

- Reduce noise from Ebley factories/traffic
- Buried power lines
- Closure of Sandpits Lane "rat-run" via a gate - promised by the care home years ago.
- Keep domestic animals under control (to avoid nuisance barking)
- More grit bins for winter snow on estates
- Preservation of the built environment
- Local people given priority on all housing
- More people involved in village activities